CGM OPEN ACTIVITY REPORT — 2001 ORLANDO TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP

Revision: 1.0-draft

Date: January 7, 2002

Preface

This report describes activities of CGM Open Technical Committee meeting held on December 9, 2001 in Orlando, Florida.

Table of Contents

| 1 | Meeting Deta | S | |
|---|----------------|--|---|
| | 1.1 Location | and Dates | 2 |
| | 1.2 Meeting. | | 2 |
| | 1.3 CGM Op | pen Attendees | 2 |
| 2 | Agenda | pen Attendees | 2 |
| | 2.1 Technica | al Committee | 2 |
| 3 | | ction Items | |
| 4 | Activity Repor | rts | 3 |
| | 4.1 Technica | al | 3 |
| | 4.1.1 Misc | cellaneous businessXML Europe 2002 abstracts | 3 |
| | 4.1.1.1 | XML Europe 2002 abstracts | 3 |
| | 4.1.1.2 | WebCGM 1.0 Release 2 | 3 |
| | 4.1.1.3 | XML 2001 Activities | 3 |
| | 4.1.2 Web | oCGM DOM development | 3 |
| | 4.1.2.1 | Review | |
| | 4.1.2.1.1 | Transformation at picture level | 3 |
| | 4.1.2.1.2 | 2 Visibility of children | 3 |
| | 4.1.2.2 | Next steps | 3 |
| | | | |

1 Meeting Details

1.1 Location and Dates

Orlando, Florida. December 9, 2001

1.2 Meeting

• CGM Open Technical Committee 9 December 2001.

1.3 CGM Open Attendees

- Dave Cruikshank Boeing (Chief Technical Officer)
- Lofton Henderson (Program Director)
- Ulrich Laesche Ematek
- Forrest Carpenter System Development, Inc.
- Don Larson Larson Software Technology
- Harry Whittaker Navy
- Andrew Moorhouse AECMA (observing)

.

2 Agenda

2.1 Technical Committee

The items on the agenda of the Technical Committee include:

- XML Europe 2002
- WebCGM Release 2
- XML 2001 WebCGM tutorial
- XML 2001 product demonstration
- WebCGM DOM development

3 Output and Action Items

| Item | Who | When | Status |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Meeting Minutes | Cruikshank | 1/7/02 | Done |
| Submit abstracts for XML Europe 2002 | All | 1/4/02 | In work |
| Publish WebCGM Release 2 through W3C | Henderson | 12/17/01 | Done |
| XML encoding model of CGM | Cruikshank | Tabled | XML Schema work in progress |

| Fill in for Dieter's absence in WebCGM Tutorial | Cruikshank/ Larson | 12/10/01 | Done |
|---|-----------------------|----------|---------|
| Fill in for Dieter's absence in WebCGM product demo | Cruikshank | 12/12/01 | Done |
| Fill in for Dieter's absence in WebCGM/SVG presentation | Henderson | 12/13/01 | Done |
| Draft WebCGM DOM spec with in IDL expression | Cruikshank | 03/01/02 | In work |

4 Activity Reports

4.1 Technical

Dave Cruikshank led the technical discussions.

4.1.1 Miscellaneous business

4.1.1.1 XML Europe 2002 abstracts

The due date for abstracts for XML Europe 2002 presentations was extended until Friday, Jan 4, 2002. An attempt will be made to present the WebCGM DOM that this conference with a demonstration of functionality.

4.1.1.2 WebCGM 1.0 Release 2

The final editing for WebCGM 1.0 Release 2 has been complete for some time. The final activity is to get it loaded into the W3C website. This is now complete.

4.1.1.3 XML 2001 Activities

With Dieter and Kevin unable to attend some time was devoted to covering the areas they had committed to in the XML 2001 program. Kevin was able to send a replacement from Auto-trol to cover his part in the vendor interoperability demonstration. Dave and Don, supporting Lofton, covered Dieter's role in the WebCGM tutorial. Dave sat in for Dieter, using the ITEDO product, during the vendor interoperability demonstration. Lofton took over Dieter's presentation of the WebCGM/SVG comparison with Chris Lilley.

4.1.2 WebCGM DOM development

4.1.2.1 Review

A review of the work completed and documented in the <u>Cleveland minutes</u> was done. Work will proceed on generating a WebCGM DOM specification with two changes from the previous work.

4.1.2.1.1 Transformation at picture level

Previously a requirement had been documented for identifying the current transformation (transformation matrix) of the picture for the DOM at the picture level. After some discussion, it was decided to drop this requirement.

4.1.2.1.2 Visibility of children

Previously a requirement had been documented for identifying the visibility of children objects at the picture and layer APS levels. After some discussion, it was decided that any APS should carry a visibility attribute related to its own visibility. By default visibility would be inherited, unless explicitly overridden someplace in the hierarchy. The visibility attribute needs to have three states: visible, invisible, or inherit.

4.1.2.2 Next steps

Develop a draft WebCGM DOM specification for review by the membership.